

ON THE STRUCTURE OF LINEAR GRAPHS

BY
P. ERDÖS

ABSTRACT

Denote by $G(n; m)$ a graph of n vertices and m edges. We prove that every $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains a circuit of l edges for every $3 \leq l < c_2 n$, also that every $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains a $K_e(u_n, u_n)$ with $u_n = [c_1 \log n]$ (for the definition of $K_e(u_n, u_n)$ see the introduction). Finally for $t > t_0$ every $G(n; [tn^{3/2}])$ contains a circuit of $2l$ edges for $2 \leq l < c_3 t^2$.

$G(n; m)$ will denote a graph of n vertices and m edges, $K(p)$ will denote the complete graph of p vertices, and $K(p, p)$ will denote the complete bipartite graph of $2p$ vertices. More generally $K(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ denotes the r -chromatic graph where there are p_i vertices of the i -th color and any two vertices of different color are adjacent. $K_e(p_1, \dots, p_r)$, $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_r$, will denote a $K(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ where two vertices of the first color are adjacent, i.e. $K_e(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ is a $K(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ with an extra edge. The vertices of G will be denoted by x, x_1, y, \dots ; the edge connecting x and y will be denoted by (x, y) . $(G - x_1 - \dots - x_r)$ denotes the graph G from which the vertices x_1, \dots, x_r and all edges which are incident to them have been deleted. $v(x)$, the valency of x , is the number of edges adjacent to x . C_l will denote a circuit having l edges. c_1, c_2, \dots denote suitable positive absolute constants. $[t]$ is the greatest integer not exceeding t .

A special case of a well known theorem of Turán [1] states that every $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains a $K(3)$ (i.e. a triangle). Dirac and I observed (independently) that every $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains for every $4 \leq k \leq n$ a subgraph $G(k; [k^2/4] + 1)$ and in fact Dirac proved a more general theorem [2].

In the present paper we continue the investigation of the structure of the graphs $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ and we are going to prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. Put $[c_1 \log n] = u_n$. Every $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains a $K_e(u_n, u_n)$.

REMARK. The structure of $K_e(u_n, u_n)$ is clearly uniquely determined. It is the $G(2u_n; u_n^2 + 1)$ which contains a $K(u_n, u_n)$ as a subgraph.

THEOREM 2. Every $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains a C_l for every $3 \leq l \leq c_2 n$.

THEOREM 3. Let $i > t_0$, then every $G(n; [in^{3/2}])$ contains a C_{2i} for every $2 \leq l < c_3 i^2$.

Received September 28, 1963.

This work was done while the author received support from the National Science Foundation, N.S.F. G.88.

Apart from the value of c_1 Theorem 1 is best possible. In fact we can show the following

THEOREM 4. *To every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $c(\varepsilon)$ so that for every n there is a $G(n; \binom{n}{2}(1 - \varepsilon))$ which does not contain a $K(\lceil c(\varepsilon)\log n \rceil, \lceil c(\varepsilon)\log n \rceil)$.*

We suppress the proof of Theorem 4 since it uses the methods used in [3]. A theorem of A. H. Stone and myself [4] implies that every $G(n; \lceil \varepsilon n^2 \rceil)$ contains a $K(\lceil c_1(\varepsilon)\log n \rceil, \lceil c_1(\varepsilon)\log n \rceil)$. The exact determination of $c(\varepsilon)$ and $c_1(\varepsilon)$ seems difficult.

I would expect that the exact determination of c_2 in Theorem 2 will be difficult.

Theorem 3 is best possible in the sense that E. Klein [5] showed that there is a $G(n; \lceil c_4 n^2 \rceil)$ which contains no C_4 . For $t > t_0$ perhaps every $G(n; \lceil tn^{3/2} \rceil)$ contains a C_{2l} for every $2 \leq l < c_5 t n^{1/2}$; if true, then apart from the value of c_5 this is easily seen to be best possible.

By the same method as used in the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove

THEOREM 5. *To every k there is an $n_0 = n_0(k)$ and a c_k so that, for $n > n_0$, $G(n; \lceil n^2/4 \rceil + k)$ always contains a $K(\lceil c_k \log n \rceil, \lceil c_k \log n \rceil)$ and k further edges.*

We suppress the proof of Theorem 5. Put $r_k = \lceil c_k \log n \rceil$. For $k > 1$ the structure of our $G(2r_k; r_k^2 + k)$ is of course not uniquely determined. Perhaps the following result holds: Let $n \geq 8$. Then every $G(n; \lceil n^2/4 \rceil + n - 1)$ contains a $K(\lceil c \log n \rceil, \lceil c \log n \rceil)$ and two edges which have no vertex in common and all four vertices of which have the same color. It is easy to see that a $G(n; \lceil n^2/4 \rceil + n - 2)$ does not have to have this property. To see this consider a $K(\lceil n/2 \rceil, \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil)$ where further one vertex of each color is adjacent to all the vertices of our graph i. e., the vertices of our $G(n; \lceil n^2/4 \rceil + n - 2)$ are $x_1, \dots, x_k; y_1, \dots, y_l$ $k = \lceil n/2 \rceil, l = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$ and its edges are

$$(x_i, y_j); 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l \text{ and } (x_1, x_i), (y_1, y_j); 2 \leq i \leq k, 2 \leq j \leq l.$$

Put

$$m(n, p) = \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)}(n^2 - r^2) + \binom{2}{2}, n = (p-1)t + r, 1 \leq r \leq p-1.$$

Turán proved that every $G(n; m(n, p))$ contains a $K(p)$ and Dirac and I [2] observed (independently) that it contains a $K(p+1)$ from which one edge is missing. By very much more complicated methods I can prove that for $n > n_0(p, k)$ $G(n; m(n, p))$ contains a p chromatic subgraph $K(k, \dots, k)$ and one further edge (i. e., a $K_p(k, \dots, k)$); for $p = 2$ this is a weakened form of Theorem 1.

Now we prove Theorem 1. First we need two Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Every $G(n; m)$ contains a subgraph $G(N, M)$ every vertex of which has valency greater than $\lfloor m/n \rfloor$. Further

$$(1) \quad M \geq m - (n - N) \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor$$

(The Lemma of course means that every vertex of $G(N, M)$ has valency in $G(N, M)$ greater than $\lfloor m/n \rfloor$).

If every vertex of $G(n, m)$ has valency $> \lfloor n/m \rfloor$, there is nothing to prove. Hence we can assume that $G(n, m)$ has a vertex x_1 of valency $\leq \lfloor m/n \rfloor$. If $G(n; m) - x_1$ has a vertex x_2 with $v(x_2) \leq \lfloor m/n \rfloor$ we consider $G(n; m) - x_1 - x_2$. We repeat this process and obtain a sequence of vertices x_1, \dots, x_k so that the valency of x_i in $(G(n; m) - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1})$ is $\leq \lfloor m/n \rfloor$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, but every vertex of

$$(2) \quad (G(n; m) - x_1 - \dots - x_k) = G(N; M)$$

has valency $> \lfloor m/n \rfloor$.

Clearly $M > 0$ for otherwise, since $(G(n; m) - x_1 - \dots - x_{n-1})$ has only one vertex and thus no edges, we can put in (2) $k \leq n - 1$ and by our construction we would have

$$m \leq (n - 1) \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor < m$$

an evident contradiction. Further by our construction ($k = n - N$)

$$M \geq m - (n - N) \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor$$

which proves (1), and the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

LEMMA 2. *Let $m > \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$. Then every $G(n; m)$ contains a $K_e(2, k)$ where $k = \lfloor c_5 n \rfloor$.*

Lemma 2 is known [6].

Now we can prove Theorem 1. In fact we shall prove the stronger statement:

To every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $c_1 = c_1(\varepsilon)$ so that every $G(n; \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + 1)$ contains a $K_e(\lfloor c_1 \log n \rfloor, \lfloor n^{1-\varepsilon} \rfloor)$.

By Lemma 1 our $G(n; \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + 1)$ contains a subgraph $G(N, M)$ every vertex of which has valency $> \lfloor \frac{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + 1}{n} \rfloor = \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$. Further (1) implies by a simple computation

$$(2) \quad M \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 - (n - N) \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor > \left\lfloor \frac{N^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Further since every vertex of $G(N, M)$ has valency $> \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$ we have

$$(3) \quad N > \frac{n}{4}.$$

By (2) Lemma 2 can be applied to $G(N, M)$ and by Lemma 2 and (3) we obtain that $G(N, M)$ contains a $K_e(2, k)$ with $k = \lfloor c_5 n/4 \rfloor$. Let the vertices of our $K_e(2, k)$ be (we choose $c_5 < 1/3$)

$$(4) \quad x_1, x_2; y_1, \dots, y_k, \quad k = \left\lceil \frac{c_5 n}{4} \right\rceil < \left\lceil \frac{n}{8} \right\rceil - 1$$

Denote by z_1, \dots, z_r the other vertices of $G(N, M)$. Each y has by Lemma 1 valency $> \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$ (in $G(N, M)$), hence each $y_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ is connected with more than

$$(5) \quad \frac{n}{4} - 2 - k + 1 > \frac{n}{8}$$

z 's. ((5) follows immediately from (4) since the number of x 's and y 's is $k + 2 < \lfloor n/8 \rfloor + 1$ and in the worst case y_i is connected with all of them).

Let $z_j^{(i)}, 1 \leq j \leq t_i, t_i > n/8$, be the z 's adjacent to y_i . Form all the $(u_n - 2)$ -tuples $(u_n = \lfloor c_1 \log n \rfloor$ of Theorem 1) of these vertices for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq k = \lfloor c_5 n/4 \rfloor$.

By a simple computation we obtain (we use $\binom{a}{b} > (a/b)^b$)

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{t_i}{u_n - 2} \geq \frac{c_5 n}{4} \left(\frac{\lfloor n/8 \rfloor + 1}{u_n - 2} \right) > \frac{c_5 n}{4} \left(\frac{n}{8(u_n - 2)} \right)^{u_n - 2}$$

Further trivially

$$(7) \quad \binom{n}{u_n - 2} < \frac{n^{u_n - 2}}{(u_n - 2)!} < \frac{n^{u_n - 2} e^{u_n - 2}}{(u_n - 2)^{u_n - 2}} < \left(\frac{3n}{u_n - 2} \right)^{u_n - 2}$$

Hence from (6) and (7)

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{t_i}{u_n - 2} > \frac{c_5 n}{4} \binom{n}{u_n - 2} \frac{1}{24^{u_n - 2}} > n^{1 - \varepsilon} \binom{n}{u_n - 2}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ if $c_1 = c_1(\varepsilon)$ is sufficiently small. The number of the z 's is clearly less than n , hence the number of the $(u_n - 2)$ -tuples formed from z 's is less than

$\binom{n}{u_n - 2}$. Thus from (8) there is a $(u_n - 2)$ -tuple which occurs more than

$n^{1 - \varepsilon}$ times—in other words there is a set of $u_n - 2$ z 's which are adjacent to the same $\lfloor n^{1 - \varepsilon} \rfloor$ y 's. If we adjoin to these z 's x_1 and x_2 (which are adjacent and are adjacent to all y 's) we obtain that $G(N; M)$ and hence our $G(n; \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + 1)$ contains a $K_\varepsilon(u_n, n^{1 - \varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ if $c_1 = c_1(\varepsilon)$ is sufficiently small. This completes the proof of our assertion and hence Theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1 our $G(n; \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + 1)$ contains a $K_\varepsilon(2, \lfloor c_5 n/4 \rfloor)$, $c_5 < 11/3$, having the vertices $x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_k, k = \lfloor c_5 n/4 \rfloor$. Each of the k vertices y_1, \dots, y_k are adjacent to more than $n/8$ z 's (we use the notations of Theorem 1). Consider now the bipartite graph whose

vertices are $y_1, \dots, y_k; z_1, \dots, z_r$, and whose edges are the edges (y_i, z_j) of $G(n; m)$. This bipartite graph has fewer than n vertices and more than

$$\frac{n}{8} \left[\frac{c_5 n}{4} \right] = c_6 n^2$$

edges. Hence by a theorem of Gallai and myself [7] it has a path of length $c_2 n$ (the length of a path is the number of its edges). Since our graph is bipartite every second of its vertices is a y . Now since x_1 and x_2 are adjacent and they are adjacent to each of the y 's we immediately obtain that our $G(n; [n^2/4] + 1)$ contains a C_l for each $3 \leq k \leq [c_2 n]$, which proves Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 1 $G(n; [tn^{3/2}])$ contains a subgraph $G(N; M)$ every vertex of which has valency $\geq [tn^{1/2}]$. Let x be one such vertex and let $y_1, \dots, y_k, k = \frac{1}{2}[tn^{1/2}]$ be some of the vertices adjacent to x and denote by z_1, \dots the other vertices of $G(N, M)$. Every y has valency $\geq [tn^{1/2}]$, thus since the number of y 's is $\frac{1}{2}[tn^{1/2}]$ there are at least $\frac{1}{2}[tn^{1/2}]$ z 's adjacent to each y . Hence the bipartite graph whose vertices are $y_1, \dots, y_k; z_1, \dots$ and whose edges are the edges (y_i, z_j) of $G(n, m)$ has at least

$$k \frac{1}{2}[tn^{1/2}] = \frac{1}{4}[tn^{1/2}]^2 > \frac{t^2}{8} n$$

edges. The number of its vertices is clearly $< n$. Thus by the theorem of Gallai and myself [7] it has a path of length $> 2c_3 t^2$ and as in the proof of Theorem 2 every second vertex of this graph is a y . Since x is adjacent to every y this path together with the vertex x gives the required circuits $C_{2l}, 2 \leq l \leq c_3 t^2$, which proves Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

1. Turán, P., 1941, *Mat. Lapok*, **48**, 436-452 (Hungarian), see also Turan, P., 1955, On the theory of graphs, *Coll. Math.*, **3**, 19-30.
2. Dirac, G., will appear in *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*
3. Erdős, P., 1947, Some remarks on the theory of graphs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **53**, 292-294; see also Erdős, P. and Renyi, A., 1960, On the evolution of random graphs, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad.*, **5**, 17-61.
4. Erdős, P. and Stone, A. H., 1946, On the structure of linear graphs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52**, 1087-1091.
5. Erdős, P., 1938, On sequences of integers no one of which divides the product of two others and on some related problems, *Izv. Nauk. Inst. Mat. Mech. Tomsk.*, **2**, 74-82.
6. Erdős, P., 1962, On the theorem of Rademacher-Turán, *Illinois J. of Math.*, **6**, 122-127.
7. Erdős, P. and Gallai, T., 1959, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **10**, 337-356.